(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. ....742/.....2003

PETITIONER : Indramani Pandey,
S/o Shri Ramdatta Pandey
Aged about 65 years,
R/o Bramhanpara, Ambikapur
Distt. Surguja

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary finance,
planning Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur.
2. Collector, Ambikapur
Distt. Surguja
3. Accountant General (ANE)
IInd Gwalior (M.P.)
4. Joint Director,
Treasury & Accounts &
Pension, Bilaspur (C.G.)
5. Distt. Education Officer, Ambikapur,
Distt. Surguja.
6. Joint Director
Public Education Department
Surguja.
7. Principal
Govt. Higher Sec. School Kargi
Darima Road, Ambikapur,
Distt. Surguja
8. Accountant General
Raipur (ANE) Chhattisgarh

P. R. No. 561/03
Presented by Shri Manoj Parashar
dated 19.02.03

18/2/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

18/2/03

2 (4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(45)

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. 742/03

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	26/4/2003 Shri Manoj Paranjpe, counsel for the petitioner. State by Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, Panel Lawyer. Heard. The petitioner has preferred this petition against the inaction of the respondents by which the representation submitted by him regarding disbursing of arrears of pension and other service benefits has not been decided. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will serve if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation along-with a copy of this order to the competent authority within fifteen days from today and on such representation being filed the competent authority shall decide the same by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law within two months from the date of production of representation. If any adverse order is passed and the petitioner thereby feels aggrieved, He may file the petition afresh challenging the said order. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case. It is further directed that the undisputed dues be paid to the petitioner. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. and I.A., if any, stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

[पीछे देखिये

H/P

23/1/06